EU - BUILDING AN INNOVATION UNION

Innovation Union Competitiveness report

2011 edition

Technical Briefing

9 June 2011

Pierre Vigier





The IUC: Evidence for building the Innovation Union

Headline Indicators

Innovation Union Scoreboard

Innovation Union Competitiveness Report Where do we want to go?

Where do we stand? Why do we stand here?

Which evidence and strategies for policy-making?

EU - BUILDING AN



BUILDING AN INNOVATION UNION (purpose of the IUC)

•A more competitive Europe: why and where to invest in R&I?

•A smarter Europe: how ERA is bringing more value for money?

•A more innovative Europe: what changes are required in structure and framework conditions? Are we addressing sufficiently societal challenges?

•Evidence for **National strategies** and smart specialisation: work in progress



EU 2020 headline indicator – R&D intensity 2000, 2009

					• 4.27		Israel ⁽³⁾
		<	~	3.93			Finland
				8.60			Sweden ⁽⁴⁾
		\diamond	3.44				Japan ⁽⁴⁾
	~		3.37				South Korea ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾
	<u> </u>	3.02					Denmark ⁽⁴⁾
	~	3.00					Switzerland
	<u> </u>	2.82					Germany
<	~	2.79					Austria
	<	2.77					United States ⁽⁶⁾
	-	2.65					Iceland
	2.21						France ⁽⁴⁾
	2.01						EU
•	• 1.96						Belgium
•	1.87						United Kingdom
◆	1.86						Slovenia ⁽⁴⁾
	1.84						Netherlands ⁽⁴⁾
🗢 1	.80						Norway
 ◆ 1. 	77						Ireland
🔶 1.6	8						Luxembourg
- 1.66							Portugal
→ 1.54							China
- 1.53							Czech Republic
1.42							Estonia
1.38							Spain
1.27							Italy
▲ 1.18							Russian Federation
→ 1.15							Hungary ⁽⁴⁾
0.85							Turkey
- 0.84							Croatia
0.84							Lithuania
- 0.68							Poland
- 0.58							Greece
0.55							Malta
- 0.53							Bulgaria
◆ 0.48							Slovakia
0.48							Romania
0.46							Cyprus
- 0.46							Latvia
0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5	2.0 2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.5	5.0	

R&D Intensity



I - Investing for the future (1)

 Increase in R&D investment in real terms 1995-2009, reaching 2.01%
 R&D intensity in 2009

•EU performs 24% of world R&D expenditure against 29% in 1995. Relative to GDP, business invests twice more in Japan and South Korea than in Europe

•In spite of the crisis 17 MS maintained or increased public investment in 2009. However, in intensity terms the trend is negative in 2010/11 in a majority of MS

•R&D spending by firms headquartered in the EU fell in 2009 half less than in US firms

EUROPEAN

COMMISSION

CHINA	BRIS	ASIA DEVELOPED 4	USA	EU-27
855%	145%	75%	60%	50%



I - Investing for the future (2)

•Europe needs to increase its number of researchers by 2/3 by 2020 and to allocate them better

	CHINA	EU	USA	JAPAN
Mio of researchers	1.6	1.5	1.4	0.71
Annual growth since 2000	10.9%	3.8%	1.3%	1.9%
Of which in private sector	69%	54%	80%	73%

•Education expenditure per PhD student is much lower in Europe. Relative to GDP the US invest 2.5 times more in higher education than the EU. (see also Atlas)



COMMISSION

II - ERA for a smarter Europe (content)

Are we making progress towards a more efficient European R&I?

Are our reforms sufficiently bold and fast?

Are we cooperating enough across Europe and beyond?



EUROPEAN

COMMISSION

EU - BUILDING AN

II - ERA for a smarter Europe (1)

- The US is still performing one third better than Europe in terms of scientific excellence.
- Europe is losing ground in terms of technology output. Japan and South Korea have a growth rate of patent application double of Europe and only 43% of EPO patents are made by Europeans.
- Half of MS do not produce high-tech patents at all. Patent revenues are 3 times higher in the US than in Europe, while patent costs are 20 times higher in Europe (40 times higher for SMEs)

- BUILDING AN

INNOVATION UNION



II - ERA for a smarter Europe (2)

- Reforms have been introduced:
- > 86% of Universities have a Technology Transfer Office
- Public-private publications have increased by 14% since 2003
- Results still not satisfactory:
- Cooperation within Europe remains unbalanced and lower than with non-EU countries (see Atlas)
- Only 4.5% of national R&D budgets are coordinated transnationally.
- The number of public-private publications per population is 2 times higher in the US.



II - ERA for a smarter Europe (3)

- Intra-European mobility remains modest
- International networks are diversifying while still dominated by EU-US cooperation.
- FP spearheads new collaborative links: Russia and China have more participants in FP projects than the US
- Gender is still unbalanced: only 39% researchers in public organisation and 19% in the business sector are women. Only 13% of higher education institutions were headed by women.



III - Towards a more innovative Europe (content)

Is Europe becoming more innovative and competitive?

Has Europe started the structural change towards a more knowledge-intensive economy?

Do research and innovation tackle societal challenges?



III - Towards a more innovative Europe (1)

- 27% of European innovative SMEs introduce novelties yearly, but their share of BERD is lower than in US.
- They do not patent much, with noticeable exception of NO and DK (30% of young firms patent).
- Innovative enterprises do not grow sufficiently.
- The economic structure of Europe is changing, but with marked differences between countries and regions.
- Globally, our positioning on fast growing innovative markets is weak compared to the US.





III - Towards a more innovative Europe (2)

Orientation toward societal challenges needs further efforts.
 The EU accounted for 40% of climate mitigation patents, (e.g. wind energy), but photovoltaic industry dominated by Asia and US
 Health: US is clearly the world leader, in terms of investment and patents (more than 50% of world's)

Number of		KR	CN	India		NO	AU
R&D	2004	6	1	0	3	1	2
intensive top							
enterprises in							
Industrial	2010	26	21	17	15	11	10
scoreboard							
data not fully comparable							



EU structural change – share of Value Added versus BERD intensity, 1995-2006



Share of value added in total value added - average annual growth (%), 1005-2006





IV – Perspectives National Strategies and Specialisation

- Country profiles MS and Associated countries
- Data on scientific & technological specialisation
- Elements on citizen's expectations.

